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Why EtherCAT?

- It’s faster
  - The fastest system available, with outstanding synchronization features
  - Performance widely independent of topology
  - No underlying sub-system required any more
  - Meets today’s and tomorrow’s requirements

- It’s cost effective
  - Meets or even undercut fieldbus cost levels
  - No special master cards required – on-board MAC or low cost standard NIC is fine
  - Highly integrated Slave Controllers for lower interface costs
  - No active infrastructure components required

- It’s easy to implement
  - Real-time protocol handling in hardware
  - Device application determines µC performance – not the fieldbus protocol
  - Simple I/O slaves do not require µC at all
  - Universal slave interface modules available
  - Evaluation kits and stacks for master and slave available

- It’s easy to use
  - No manual address setting
  - No switch or router configuration
  - Auto configuration features
  - Diagnosis with exact localization

- It’s flexible topology
  - All topologies supported: line, tree, star, ring
  - No node, switch or hub cascading issues
  - Up to 65,535 nodes per segment
  - Redundancy, Hot Connect, Hot Swap options

- It’s versatile
  - master-slave, slave-slave and master-master communication
  - Cyclic and acyclic services for process data and parameter data
  - Suitable for centralized and distributed control architectures
  - Machine control, robotics, embedded systems, building automation, transport systems, ...

- It’s Industrial Ethernet
  - Standard Ethernet frames used
  - Supports all Internet technologies
  - HTTP, FTP, TCP/IP – without degrading the real-time behavior
  - Simplifies vertical integration

- It’s Safety on Ethernet
  - Functional Safety and standard application with one network
  - Safety Protocol according to international safety standard IEC 61508
  - Suitable for safety I/O and safety drives
  - Routable via gateways and fieldbus systems

- It’s open
  - Open Technology, fully disclosed
  - Supported by the world’s largest Industrial Ethernet Organization
  - EtherCAT is IEC, ISO and SEMI standard
  - Supports well established device profiles

- It’s well proven
  - Deployed in series applications since 2003
  - Wide range of applications
  - Implemented on variety of controllers and operating systems
  - Large product selection
User and Vendor Statements

Martin Rostan, Head of Technology Marketing, Beckhoff Automation GmbH, and Executive Director, EtherCAT Technology Group: “The strong growth of the EtherCAT Technology Group reflects the tremendous worldwide interest in this exiting technology. Manufacturers and end users recognize the benefits: future-proof performance, flexible network topology, simple configuration, and low costs. Nevertheless, while the number of members is an important criterion for the success of a technology organization, it is not the crucial one. Acceptance of the technology resulting in product developments and applications is even more important. Here too, EtherCAT is setting standards.”

Kenichi Karigane, General Manager, Drive Systems Division, Product Marketing & Sales Engineering Center, Hitachi IES Co., Ltd.: EtherCAT is being adopted also in Japan: major industrial machinery manufacturers are introducing EtherCAT into their machines. With more EtherCAT based machines made available on the market the potential of EtherCAT applications will even further increase. We at Hitachi IES were quick off the mark in releasing our large capacity AC servo system with EtherCAT and will further enhance our line-up of EtherCAT products since EtherCAT has superiority of quality to other motion control bus systems with regards to speed, cost performance and openness.

Dr. Peter Heidrich, R&D Manager, Baumüller GmbH, and ETG Board Member: “Baumüller decided to use EtherCAT due to the significant benefits it can offer, particularly in terms of price/performance ratio and availability. This decision was underlined through our active collaboration in the ETG executive committee. We continue to be convinced that the decision for EtherCAT was the right one. As soon as EtherCAT Slave Controllers became available, Baumüller started producing connections for the bmaXX 4400 system in August 2004. ETG has demonstrated that, due to the universality of the EtherCAT technology, EtherCAT-based systems can be developed and realized very quickly.”

Dieter Hess, Managing Director, 3S Smart Software Solutions GmbH: “3S decided to implement EtherCAT as the first real-time Ethernet protocol, since EtherCAT utilizes the maximum performance of Ethernet. For us as a software manufacturer, the fact that the master implementation is independent of special plug-in cards is particularly attractive. The software can be based on the universally available standard Ethernet controller. The openness of the system and active support of Beckhoff for ETG are further significant factors.”

Erich Hutflesz, Manager Control Systems, Schuler SMG, and ETG Board Member: “EtherCAT enables us to realize fast drive and hydraulic controls for all applications currently used in the Schuler Group. Another crucial factor is that, due to EtherCAT’s performance, we still have enough potential for solving complex control tasks in future without speed problems. Apart from the functional features of a technology, availability of a wide range of components is very significant for users of automation devices. The fact that so shortly after ETG was established so many member companies were already presenting EtherCAT products and that further products are in preparation is clear evidence for the success of this technology. The main factor determining user acceptance continues to be simple and effective handling of the EtherCAT system in terms of configuration and diagnosis.”

Shinya Yamasaki, Senior General Manager, Control Devices Division HQ, Omron: “Omron has selected EtherCAT as Machine control network dedicated mainly for its new motion & field devices bus system since it provides a unique combination of performance, ease of use and topology freedom. Omron believes in open architectures, and has therefore also decided to support and promote EtherCAT in Japan and in the Asian Market. Our first EtherCAT products are motion controller and PLC master units together with servo motors, inverters, vision sensors and field I/Os.”
“We implemented EtherCAT in a few applications and find especially exciting that this protocol allows us to have very high update rates which allows extremely cost efficient close loop control to be implemented by SW means with virtually no specialized HW added. Availability of EtherCAT enabled fieldbus scanners, digital motion amplifiers as well as fast I/O allows for using slim controller PC with just one Ethernet port instead of multiple PC slots. Introducing EtherCAT hence does not require abandoning all well established fieldbus systems right away but provides a smooth migration path.”

Norbert Hauser, VP Marketing, Kontron AG:

“EtherCAT is currently the most successful Ethernet-based fieldbus. This is also visible in the strongly growing number of members of renowned companies. A major advantage of EtherCAT is its openness, high performance and the excellent price-performance ratio. Kontron’s embedded computing customers have identified the advantages of EtherCAT for their business. Therefore, Kontron, one of the leading companies in the Embedded Computing market, has decided to offer the embedded portfolio also with EtherCAT.”

Thomas Porath, System Architect General X-Ray, Philips Medical Systems:

“We believe that EtherCAT is the right technology for the next step towards a system control architecture that enables us to further reduce costs and allows for new innovations, which the current architecture is not suitable to serve for. The performance of EtherCAT will allow us to implement hard real-time, safety, and control functions on one single cable, while simultaneously offering flexible topologies, which will reduce cable costs significantly. Not only performance, but also international standardization and worldwide acceptance are important features of EtherCAT. Our changeover to EtherCAT is simplified through the use of CANopen device profiles and the availability of gateways and converters, since we are not able to convert all of our subsystems and components in one big step.”

Chris Choi, Director of Technology, Husky Injection Molding Systems Ltd.:

“The design of EtherCAT assures its cost effectiveness by the use of long lasting low cost PC and Ethernet components. This steers engineering and investment decisions towards its intensive use. In injection molding, a control cycle in the range of ms is an industrial norm. EtherCAT has proven itself as the most effective industrial Ethernet protocol to achieve high performance control for injection molding with a control cycle down to the 100 μs range. This has resulted in an improved reaction time which brings great benefits: enabling offer of products with productivity surpassed the status quo; and producing molded parts at higher precision and better quality. We are confident that EtherCAT is a right technology to support our product innovation.”

Pat Boland, Managing Director, ANCA Pty Ltd.:

“ANCA’s interest in EtherCAT as the standard fieldbus in our CNC machines has been driven by three main features. Firstly there is the practically unlimited bandwidth offered by EtherCAT which gives our designers freedom to imagine, scalability and long product lifetime. Secondly the two physical layers give the option of choosing low cost, electrically rugged and widely available hardware components. Finally the software only master allows easy use of high reliability, relatively low cost industrial motherboards as system controllers.”

Hans Beckhoff, Managing Director, Beckhoff Automation GmbH:

“Naturally, EtherCAT is particularly suitable for fast PC based controls. The master requires no plug-in card and can be implemented on any existing Ethernet controller using a very simple interface. EtherCAT is therefore also well suited to small and medium control technology, where it opens up new areas of application for distributed control. Therefore EtherCAT is the communication backbone of Beckhoff system architecture and we are very pleased about the worldwide success of this technology.”

Dmitry Dzilno, Director of Engineering, Controls Group, Applied Materials Inc.:

“We implemented EtherCAT in a few applications and find especially exciting that this protocol allows us to have very high update rates which allows extremely cost efficient close loop control to be implemented by SW means with virtually no specialized HW added. Availability of EtherCAT enabled fieldbus scanners, digital motion amplifiers as well as fast I/O allows for using slim controller PC with just one Ethernet port instead of multiple PC slots. Introducing EtherCAT hence does not require abandoning all well established fieldbus systems right away but provides a smooth migration path.”
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“ANCA’s interest in EtherCAT as the standard fieldbus in our CNC machines has been driven by three main features. Firstly there is the practically unlimited bandwidth offered by EtherCAT which gives our designers freedom to imagine, scalability and long product lifetime. Secondly the two physical layers give the option of choosing low cost, electrically rugged and widely available hardware components. Finally the software only master allows easy use of high reliability, relatively low cost industrial motherboards as system controllers.”

Dmitry Dzilno, Director of Engineering, Controls Group, Applied Materials Inc.:

“We implemented EtherCAT in a few applications and find especially exciting that this protocol allows us to have very high update rates which allows extremely cost efficient close loop control to be implemented by SW means with virtually no specialized HW added. Availability of EtherCAT enabled fieldbus scanners, digital motion amplifiers as well as fast I/O allows for using slim controller PC with just one Ethernet port instead of multiple PC slots. Introducing EtherCAT hence does not require abandoning all well established fieldbus systems right away but provides a smooth migration path.”
Everyone should be able to use and implement EtherCAT. The EtherCAT Technology Group stands for this philosophy. The ETG is a forum for end users from different sectors, and for machine manufacturers and suppliers of powerful control technology with the aim of supporting and promoting EtherCAT technology. The wide range of industry sectors that are represented ensures that EtherCAT is optimally prepared for a large number of applications. With their qualified feedback, the system partners ensure simple integration of the hardware and software components in all required device classes.

The ETG Technical Committee meets frequently to review the technology. Working Groups look after topics like device profile integration, safety, wiring, standardization or conformance and certification.

In Training Classes and Seminars the ETG provides detailed information about the technology. Plug tests help to provide interoperability and implementation support and complement the conformance test and certification process. The ETG Offices answer technical inquiries regarding EtherCAT, provide marketing assistance, publish product guides, issue press releases and articles, promote EtherCAT via its website and organize joint fair and exhibition booths.

Benefits of membership

- EtherCAT device vendors are encouraged to join ETG so that they get access to development products and implementation support as well as their own EtherCAT vendor ID and the Conformance Test Tool. EtherCAT users support the technology and its adaptation to their industry by joining the ETG.
- Members are eligible to participate in working groups and thus influence on future enhancements of the EtherCAT technology specifications.
- ETG represent the member’s interest in international standardization committees such as IEC and ISO.
- ETG members have access to the members-only part of the EtherCAT website, which provides specifications, a developers forum, the EtherCAT Knowledge Base and other up-to-date information regarding the technology.
The Management Board of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) approved the Liaison of the EtherCAT Technology Group with the IEC Committees for Digital Communication. The ETG is official standardization partner organization.

International Standardization

EtherCAT specification is an international IEC and ISO standard. EtherCAT is part of the international fieldbus standard IEC 61158 (Digital data communication for measurement and control — Fieldbus for use in industrial control systems). In part 2 of IEC 61158, profiles for specific EtherCAT device classes are defined. The new part 3 of this standard includes the Safety over EtherCAT specification, and the new edition of part 5 covers the EtherCAT network installation. ISO 15345 deals with device description aspects.

The IEC 61800-7 is particularly important for Motion Control applications. The integration of EtherCAT in this standard makes it a standardized communication technology for the SERCOS™ and CANopen™ drive profiles.

Also SEMI (Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International) has approved EtherCAT for their applications by accepting the EtherCAT SEMI standard E54.20. The leading standards organizations thus respond to the growing interest of the industry in the fastest Industrial Ethernet solution.

Membership Development

Founded in 2003, the EtherCAT Technology Group grew so fast that it became the world’s largest Industrial Ethernet organization. With over 1000 member companies from all over the world and still growing, the ETG is a strong international community. ETG Offices in Europe, Asia and North America provide support and members services. The current membership list can be found on the EtherCAT website.

How to join the ETG

ETG headquarters provides all necessary information including ETG by-laws and application form on request: info@ethercat.org
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Technical Introduction and Overview

This section provides an in-depth introduction into EtherCAT, the Ethernet-based fieldbus system. EtherCAT sets new performance standards. Handling is straightforward and similar to a fieldbus, thanks to flexible topology and simple configuration. Moreover, since EtherCAT can be implemented very cost-effectively, the system enables fieldbuses to be used in applications where fieldbus networking was not an option in the past.

Introduction

Fieldbuses have become an integrated component of automation technology. They have been tried and tested and are now widely established. It was fieldbus technology that enabled the wide-scale application of PC-based control systems. While the performance of controller CPUs – particularly for IPCs – is increasing rapidly, conventional fieldbus systems tend to represent “bottlenecks” that limit the performance control systems can achieve. An additional factor is the layered control architecture consisting of several subordinate (usually cyclic) systems: the actual control task, the fieldbus system and perhaps local expansion buses within the I/O system or simply the local firmware cycle in the peripheral device. Reaction times are typically 3–5 times higher than the controller cycle time – an unsatisfactory solution.

Above the fieldbus system level, i.e. for networking controllers, Ethernet has already been the state of the art for some time. What is relatively new is its application at the drive or I/O level, i.e. in areas that were dominated by fieldbus systems in the past. The main requirements for this type of application are high real-time capability, suitability for small data quantities, and naturally cost-effectiveness. EtherCAT meets these requirements and at the same time makes internet technologies available at the I/O level.

With EtherCAT the controller can update the input and/or output information at the time when the data is needed. The I/O response time contains all hardware relevant delays (IPC, EtherCAT and I/O system) from the physical input signal to the physical reaction on the output.

With response times down to below 100 μs this offers a performance to the PLC level that has been available up to now only within servo drives with a digital signal processor (DSP), (see Fig. 1).

Ethernet and real-time capability

There are many different approaches that try and provide real-time capability for Ethernet: for example, the CSMA/CD media access procedure is disabled via higher level protocols. Another approach is the use of a cycle timeslicing method. The advantage of this approach is the considerably lower I/O response time at the cost of a doubled cycle time. A disadvantage of this method is the increased complexity of the networking layer. EtherCAT’s approach is based on an Ethernet frame structure with a fixed size of 80 bytes.

Above the fieldbus level, i.e. for networking controllers, EtherCAT can be used. Below the fieldbus level, EtherCAT can be used instead of fieldbus systems. EtherCAT’s approach is based on an Ethernet frame structure with a fixed size of 80 bytes. This offers the advantage of a high-level network with deterministic performance and low-level access to the bus.

Figure 1: Ultra short cycle times with I/O response time < 100 μs

Figure 2: Comparison of Bandwidth Utilisation
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protocol layers and replaced by the time slice procedure or polling; other propositions use special switches that distribute Ethernet packets in a precisely controlled timely manner. Whilst these solutions may be able to transport data packets more or less quickly and accurately to the connected Ethernet nodes, the times required for the redirection to the outputs or drive controllers and for reading the input data strongly depend on the implementation.

If individual Ethernet frames are used for each device, the usable data rate is very low in principle: The shortest Ethernet frame is 84 bytes long (incl. inter-packet gap IPG). If, for example, a drive cyclically sends 4 bytes of actual value and status information and accordingly receives 4 bytes of command value and control word information, at 100% bus load (i.e. with infinitely short response time of the drive) a usable data rate of only $\frac{4 \text{ bytes}}{84 \text{ bytes}} \times 100\% = 4.8\%$ is achieved. At an average response time of 10 $\mu$s, the rate drops to 1.9%.

These limitations apply to all real-time Ethernet approaches that send an Ethernet frame to each device (or expect a frame from each device), irrespective of the protocols used within the Ethernet frame.

EtherCAT technology overcomes these inherent limitations of other Ethernet solutions: On the one hand the Ethernet packet is no longer received then interpreted and process data then copied at every device, but the EtherCAT slave devices read the data addressed to them while the frame passes through the node. Similarly, input data is inserted while the telegram passes through (see Fig. 3). The frames are only delayed by a few nanoseconds. The frame send by the master is passed through to the next device until it reaches the end of the segment (or branch). The last device detects an open port and therefore sends the frame back to the master.

On the other hand, an EtherCAT frame comprises the data of many devices both in send and receive direction within one Ethernet frame. The usable data rate increases to over 90%. The full-duplex features of 100BASE-TX are fully utilized, so that effective data rates of $>100 \text{ Mbit/s}$ ($>90\%$ of $2 \times 100 \text{ Mbit/s}$) can be achieved (see Fig. 2).

The EtherCAT master uses standard Ethernet Medium Access Controllers (MACs) without extra communication processors. Thus an EtherCAT master can be implemented on any equipment controller that provides an Ethernet interface, independently of the operating system or application environment.

The EtherCAT slave uses an EtherCAT Slave Controller (ESC) for processing the data “on-the-fly”. Thus the performance of the network is not determined by the microcontroller performance of the slave but is handled complete in hardware. A process data interface (PDI) to the slave’s application offers a Dual-Port-RAM for data exchange.
EtherCAT only uses standard frames according to IEEE 802.3—the frames are not shortened. EtherCAT frames can thus be sent from any Ethernet controller (master), and standard tools (e.g. monitor) can be used.

The EtherCAT device protocol is optimized for process data and is transported directly within the Ethernet frame thanks to a special EtherType. It may consist of several EtherCAT datagrams, each serving a particular memory area within the 4 gigabyte address space. For small I/O devices the EtherCAT Slave Controller also supports bitwise mapping. The bits of an input device can be inserted individually anywhere within the logical address space. If an EtherCAT datagram is sent that reads a certain process image area the input terminal inserts its data at the right place within the data area. This is done by the Fieldbus Memory Management Unit (FMMU) within the ESC. So the data sequence becomes independent of the physical order of the Ethernet terminals in the network; addressing can be in any order. Broadcast, Multicast and communication between slaves are possible. Direct Ethernet frame transfer is used in cases where maximum performance is required and the EtherCAT components are operated in the same subnet as the controller.

However, EtherCAT applications are not limited to a single subnet. EtherCAT UDP packages the EtherCAT protocol into UDP/IP datagrams (see Fig. 4). This enables any control with Ethernet protocol stack to address EtherCAT systems. Even communication across routers into other subnets is possible. In this variant, system performance obviously depends on the real-time characteristics of the control and its Ethernet protocol implementation. The response times of the EtherCAT network itself are hardly restricted at all; once inside an EtherCAT segment the UDP protocol does not have to be processed any more.

To access the local memory of the devices for example for configuration either the position of the devices in the segment can be addressed or the device is addressed via an assigned node address. This means: No manual address adjustment on the devices is needed!

The position addressing is used for the boot-up of the network. The master can identify each device in the segment (Vendor-ID, product code, etc.) and can read in the link status of the device’s ports. Therefore the complete information about all devices and about the topology is available and can be compared to an expected configuration. Additionally, the master assigns the node address to all devices.

This node address is used afterwards and addresses the devices reliably even if one or more devices are not connected (e.g. Hot Connect groups) and therefore the position in the segment is not the same.

In addition to the EtherCAT device protocol using data exchange according to the master/slave principle, the EtherCAT automation protocol makes it also very suitable for communication between controllers (e.g. master/master-
Wiring flexibility is further maximized through the choice of different cables. Flexible and inexpensive standard Ethernet cables transfer the signals in 100BASE-TX mode. The Power over EtherCAT-option (compatible with IEEE 802.3af) allows one to connect e.g. sensors using a single cable. Fibre Optics (100BASE-FX or Plastic Optical Fibres) complement the system for special applications. The complete choice of Ethernet wiring – such as different optical fibers and copper cables – can be used in combination with switches or media converters.

The Fast Ethernet physics (100BASE-TX) enables a cable length of 100 m between two devices. Since up to 65,535 devices can be connected, the size of the network is almost unlimited.

The Ethernet protocol according to IEEE 802.3 remains intact right up to the individual device; no sub-bus is required. In order to meet the requirements of a modular device like an electronic terminal block, the physical layer in the coupling device can be converted from twisted pair or optical fiber to LVDS (alternative Ethernet physical layer, standardized in [4,5]). A modular device can thus be extended very cost-efficiently. Subsequent conversion from the backplane physical layer LVDS to the 100BASE-TX physical layer is possible at any time – as usual with Ethernet.

Pushed and polled process data exchange and a variety of services for parameterization, diagnosis and remote control cover a wide range of requirements. The data interfaces of the device protocol and the automation protocol are identical.

For slave-to-slave communication, two mechanisms are available. Upstream devices can communicate to downstream devices within the same cycle – and thus extremely fast. Since this method is topology-dependent, it is particularly suitable for slave-to-slave communication relationships given by machine design – e.g. in printing or packaging applications. For freely configurable slave-to-slave communication, the second mechanism applies: the data is relayed by the master. Here, two cycles are needed, but due to the extraordinary performance of EtherCAT this is still faster than any other approach.

### Topology

- **Line, tree or star:** EtherCAT supports almost any topology (see Fig. 5). The bus or line structure known from the field-buses thus also becomes available for Ethernet, without the quantity limitations implied by cascaded switches or hubs.

  Particularly useful for system wiring is the combination of line and branches or stubs: the required interfaces exist on many devices (e.g. on I/O modules); no additional switches are required. Naturally, the classic Ethernet star topology can also be used.

- **Pushed and polled:** Pushed and polled process data exchange and a variety of services for parameterization, diagnosis and remote control cover a wide range of requirements. The data interfaces of the device protocol and the automation protocol are identical.

- **Slave-to-slave communication:** Two mechanisms are available. Upstream devices can communicate to downstream devices within the same cycle – and thus extremely fast. Since this method is topology-dependent, it is particularly suitable for slave-to-slave communication relationships given by machine design – e.g. in printing or packaging applications. For freely configurable slave-to-slave communication, the second mechanism applies: the data is relayed by the master. Here, two cycles are needed, but due to the extraordinary performance of EtherCAT this is still faster than any other approach.
Distributed clocks

Accurate synchronization is particularly important in cases where spatially distributed processes require simultaneous actions. This may be the case, for example, in applications where several servo axes carry out coordinated movements simultaneously.

The most powerful approach for synchronization is the accurate alignment of distributed clocks, as described for example in the IEEE 1588 standard [6]. In contrast to fully synchronous communication, where synchronization quality suffers immediately in the event of a communication fault, distributed aligned clocks have a high degree of tolerance versus possible fault-related delays within the communication system.

With EtherCAT, the synchronization of the devices is fully based on a pure hardware machine. Since the communication utilizes a logical (and thanks to full-duplex Fast Ethernet also physical) ring structure, a timestamp can be sampled within each device for the incoming and the returning frame. With these timestamps the master can determine the propagation delay offset to the individual slave clocks simply and accurately (see Fig. 6). The distributed clocks are adjusted based on this value, which means that a very precise network-wide time base with a jitter of significantly less than 1 microsecond is available (see Fig. 6). This is very important e.g. for motion control, where typically velocities are calculated from sequentially measured positions. Particularly with very short sampling times, even a small temporal jitter in the position measurement would lead to large step changes in the computed velocity.

Since by using the distributed clocks the sampling is not based on the communication jitter, very precise velocity measurements are achieved. It is orders of magnitude better than that of measuring techniques based on jitter-free communication. However, high-resolution distributed clocks are not only used for synchronization, but can also provide accurate information about the local timing of the data acquisition. With EtherCAT, timestamp data types are introduced as a logical extension. The high resolution system time is linked to the measured value, which is made possible by the large bandwidth offered by Ethernet. This feature is not only very useful for any measurement application, but also enables very precise reaction times independent of the control cycle. External synchronization, e.g. across the plant, is then based on IEEE 1588.

Performance

EtherCAT reaches new dimensions in network performance. Thanks to hardware integration in the slave and direct memory access to the network controller in the master, the complete protocol processing takes place within hardware and is thus fully independent of the run-time of protocol stacks, CPU performance or software implementation. The update time for 1,000 I/Os is only 30 μs — including I/O cycle time (see Table 4). Up to 1,086 bytes of process data can be exchanged with a single Ethernet frame — this is equivalent to almost 12,000 digital inputs and outputs. The transfer of this data quantity only takes
The extremely high performance of the EtherCAT technology enables control concepts that could not be realized with classic fieldbus systems. With EtherCAT, a communication technology is available that matches the superior computing capacity of modern industrial PCs. The bus system is no longer the bottleneck of the control concept. Distributed I/Os are recorded faster than is possible with most local I/O interfaces. The EtherCAT technology principle is scalable and not bound to the baud rate of 100 Mbaud – extension to Gbit Ethernet is possible.

**Diagnosis**

Experience with fieldbus systems shows that availability and commissioning times crucially depend on the diagnostic capability. Only faults that are detected quickly and accurately and located unambiguously can be rectified quickly. Therefore, special attention was paid to exemplary diagnostic features during the development of EtherCAT. During commissioning, the actual configuration of the nodes (e.g. drives or I/O terminals) should be checked for consistency with the specified configuration. The topology should also match the configuration. Due to the built-in topology recognition down to the individual terminals, this verification can not only take place during system start-up, automatic reading in of the network is also possible (configuration upload).

Bit faults during the transfer are reliably detected through evaluation of the CRC checksum: The 32 bit CRC polynomial has a minimum hamming distance of 4. Apart from broken wire detection and localization, the protocol, physical layer and topology of the EtherCAT system enable individual quality monitoring of each individual transmission segment. The automatic evaluation of the associated error counters enables precise localization of critical network sections. Gradual or changing sources of error such as EMI influences, defective connectors or cable damage are detected and located, even if they do not yet overstrain the self healing capacity of the network.

**High availability**

Increasing demands in terms of system availability are catered for with optional cable redundancy that enables devices to be exchanged without having to shut down the network. Adding redundancy is very inexpensive: the only additional hardware is another standard Ethernet port (no special card or interface) in the master device and the single cable that turns the line topology into the ring (see Fig. 8). Switchover in case of device or cable failure only takes one cycle, so even demanding motion control appli-
cations survive a cable failure without problems. EtherCAT
also supports redundant masters with hot standby func-
tionality. Since the EtherCAT Slave Controllers immediately
return the frame automatically if an interruption is en-
countered, failure of a device does not necessarily lead to
the complete network being shut down. Drag chain appli-
cations, for example, can thus be specifically configured as
stubs in order to be prepared for cable break or use cable
redundancy right away.

Safety
Conventionally, safety functions are realized separately
from the automation network, either via hardware or us-
ing dedicated safety bus systems. Safety over EtherCAT en-
ables safety-related communication and control communi-
cation on the same network. The safety protocol is based
on the application layer of EtherCAT, without influencing
the lower layers. It is certified according to IEC 61508 and
meets the requirements of Safety Integrity Level (SIL 3). The
data length is variable, making the protocol equally suit-
able for safe I/O data and for safe drive technology. There
are no restrictions regarding the communication medium
or the transfer rate. Like other EtherCAT data, the safety da-
ta can be routed without requiring safety routers or gate-
ways. First fully certified products featuring Safety over
EtherCAT are available since 2005. ETG also developed a
Safety Drive Profile which provides a uniform data inter-
facing to the safety functionalities of drives and thus simpli-
fies the setup and configuration.

EtherCAT instead of PCI
With increasing miniaturization of the PC components, the
physical size of Industrial PCs is increasingly determined by
the number of required slots. The bandwidth of Fast Ether-
net, together with the process data width of the EtherCAT
communication hardware enables new directions: classic
interfaces that are conventionally located in the IPC are
transferred to intelligent EtherCAT interface terminals (see
Fig. 9). Apart from the decentralized I/Os, drives and con-
trol units, complex systems such as fieldbus masters, fast
serial interfaces, gateways and other communication in-
terfaces can be addressed.

Even further Ethernet devices without restriction on
protocol variants can be connected via decentralized
switchport devices. The central IPC becomes smaller and
therefore more cost-effective. One Ethernet interface is
sufficient for the complete communication with the pe-
riphery (see Fig. 10).

Device profiles
The device profiles describe the application parameters
and the functional behavior of the devices including the
device class-specific state machines. For many device class-
es, fieldbus technology already offers reliable device pro-
files, for example for I/O devices, drives or valves. Users are
familiar with these profiles and the associated parameters
and tools. No EtherCAT-specific device profiles have there-
fore been developed for these device classes. Instead, sim-
ple interfaces for existing device profiles are being offered.
This greatly assists users and device manufacturers alike during the migration from the existing fieldbus to EtherCAT. At the same time the EtherCAT specification keeps it simple because all the protocols are optional. The device manufacturer only has to implement the protocol that the device application needs.

**CAN application protocol over EtherCAT (CoE)**

CANopen™ device and application profiles are available for a wide range of device classes and applications, ranging from I/O components, drives, encoders, proportional valves and hydraulic controllers to application profiles for plastic or textile machinery; for example. EtherCAT can provide the same communication mechanisms as the familiar CANopen [7] mechanisms: object dictionary, PDO (process data objects) and SDO (service data objects) – even the network management is comparable. EtherCAT can thus be implemented with minimum effort on devices equipped with CANopen. Large parts of the CANopen firmware can be reused. Objects can optionally be expanded in order to account for the larger bandwidth offered by EtherCAT.

**Servo drive profile according to IEC 61800-7-204 (SERCOS)**

SERCOS interface™ is acknowledged as a high-performance real-time communication interface, particularly for motion control applications. The SERCOS profile for servo drives and the communication technology are covered by the IEC 61800-7-204 standard. The mapping to EtherCAT (SoE) is specified in part 304 [8]. The service channel, and therefore access to all parameters and functions residing in the drive, is based on the EtherCAT mailbox. Here too, the focus is on compatibility with the existing protocol (access to value, attribute, name, units, etc. of the IDNs) and expandability with regard to data length limitation. The process data, with SERCOS in the form of AT and MDT data, are transferred using EtherCAT device protocol mechanisms. The mapping is similar to the SERCOS mapping. The EtherCAT slave state machine can also be mapped easily to the phases of the SERCOS protocol. EtherCAT provides advanced real-time Ethernet technology for this device profile, which is particularly widespread in CNC applications. Optionally, the command position, speed or torque can be transferred. Depending on the implementation, it is even possible to continue using the same configuration tools for the drives.

**Ethernet over EtherCAT (EoE)**

The EtherCAT technology is not only fully Ethernet-compatible, but also characterized by particular openness “by design”: the protocol tolerates other Ethernet-based services and protocols on the same physical network – usually even with minimum loss of performance. There is no restriction on the type of Ethernet device that can be connected within the EtherCAT segment via a switchport. The Ethernet frames are tunneled via the EtherCAT protocol, which is the standard approach for internet applications (e.g. VPN, PPPoE (DSL), etc.). The EtherCAT network is fully
transparent for the Ethernet device, and the real-time characteristics are not impaired (see Fig. 12).

The master acts like a layer 2 switch that redirects the frames to the respective devices according to the address information. All internet technologies can therefore also be used in the EtherCAT environment: integrated web server, e-mail, FTP transfer, etc.

**File Access over EtherCAT (FoE)**

This very simple protocol similar to TFTP enables access to any data structure in the device. Standardized firmware upload to devices is therefore possible, irrespective of whether or not they support TCP/IP.

**Infrastructure costs**

Since no hubs and switches are required for EtherCAT, costs associated with these devices including power supply, installation, etc. are avoided. Standard Ethernet cables and standard low cost connectors are used, if the environmental conditions permit this. For environments requiring increased protection sealed connectors according to IEC standards are specified.

---

**Figure 12: Transparent for all Ethernet Protocols**

---
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Summary

EtherCAT is characterized by outstanding performance, very simple wiring and openness for other protocols. EtherCAT sets new standards where conventional fieldbus systems reach their limits: 1,000 I/Os in 30 μs, optionally twisted pair cable or optical fiber and, thanks to Ethernet and Internet technologies, optimum vertical integration. With EtherCAT, the costly Ethernet star topology can be replaced with a simple line structure – no expensive infrastructure components are required. Where other real-time Ethernet approaches require special interface hardware in the controller, for EtherCAT masters the on-board Ethernet port is sufficient. EtherCAT is versatile: master-to-slave, slave-to-slave and master-to-master communication is supported (see Fig. 13). Safety over EtherCAT is available.

EtherCAT makes Ethernet down to the I/O level technically feasible and economically sensible. Full Ethernet compatibility, internet technologies even in very simple devices, maximum utilization of the large bandwidth offered by Ethernet, outstanding real-time characteristics at low costs are outstanding features of this network.
Implementation Aspects

Master

The EtherCAT technology was developed with very low cost devices in mind, like I/O terminals, sensors, and embedded controllers. EtherCAT only uses standard Ethernet frames according to IEEE 802.3. These frames are sent by the master device; the slave devices extract and/or insert data on the fly. Thus EtherCAT uses standard Ethernet MACs, where they really make sense: in the master device. And EtherCAT Slave Controllers are used, where such dedicated chips really make sense: in the slave device, where they handle the process data protocol in hardware and provide maximum real-time performance regardless of the local processing power or software quality.

EtherCAT Master

EtherCAT communicates a maximum of 1,486 bytes of distributed process data with just one Ethernet frame. Unlike other solutions where the master device in each network cycle has to process, send and receive frames for each node, EtherCAT systems typically only need one or two frames per cycle for the entire communication with all nodes. Therefore EtherCAT masters do not require a dedicated communication processor. The master functionality puts hardly any load on the host CPU which can handle this task easily besides processing the application program. Implementation of an EtherCAT master can be very easy, particularly for small and medium-sized control systems and for clearly defined applications. For example a PLC with a single process image: if it does not exceed the 1,486 bytes, cyclic sending of a single Ethernet frame with the cycle time of the PLC is sufficient. Since the header does not change at run-time, all which is required is a constant header to be added to the process image and the result to be transferred to the Ethernet controller.

The process image is already sorted, since with EtherCAT mapping does not occur in the master, but in the slaves – the peripheral devices insert their data at the respective locations in the passing frame. This further unburdens the host CPU. It was found that an EtherCAT master entirely implemented in software on the host CPU uses less of its processing power than much slower fieldbus systems implemented with active plug-in cards – even servicing the DPRAM of the active card puts more load on the host.

System configuration tools – available from several manufacturers – provide the network and device parameters including the corresponding boot-up sequence in a standardized XML format. Since EtherCAT uses standard Ethernet frames according to IEEE 802.3, any commercially available Ethernet monitoring tool can be used for monitoring EtherCAT communication. In addition, an EtherCAT parser is part of the Wireshark distribution. A Microsoft network monitor plug-in is also available for processing and displaying recorded EtherCAT data traffic.

Master implementation services

EtherCAT masters have been implemented on a wide range of RTOS, including but not limited to: eCos, INtime, MiCROWARE OS-9, On Time RTOS-32, Proconos OS, Real-Time Java, RT Kernel, RT Linux, RTX Quadros, RTAI Linux, PikeOS, Linux with RT-Preempt, QNX, VxWorks, Windows CE, Windows XP/XPE with CoDeSys SP RTE, Windows XP/XPE with TwinCAT RT extension and XENOMAI Linux. Master stacks are available as open or shared source projects, as sample code and as commercial software. Implementation services are also available from a variety of vendors and for a variety of hardware platforms. Information about this fast growing offering can be found in the Product Guide of the EtherCAT website [3].

Master sample code

Another possibility to implement an EtherCAT master is to use sample code which is available for a nominal fee. The software is supplied as source code and comprises all EtherCAT master functions, including Ethernet over EtherCAT. All the developer has to do is adapt the code, which was created for Windows environments, to the target hardware and the RTOS used. This has been done successfully for a number of systems.
**EtherCAT in embedded systems**

In an embedded environment an EtherCAT Master implementation has to fit some special requirements, which are often conflicting. On one hand, a specific environment restricts hard- and software properties, and on the other hand, the application requirements have to be fulfilled.

The hardware environment includes a broad variation of micro controllers (8, 16 or 32 bit) with different constraints concerning endianness and alignment limitations, which a master needs to address. There is also a broad variation of different MAC chips used where a master has to face different data access methods (DMA access, IO access, Dual Port RAM access). A special objective in an embedded environment is often the lack of a hard disk drive and of course the limited resources like Memory, CPU or bus bandwidth (when accessing the MAC). Another objective a master should meet is a broad variety of different operating systems or in some cases even no operating system at all.

If the same master software implementation shall run on multiple of such different systems, the main requirements to the software are portability and footprint.

Besides these different environments a system designer has to consider which application requirements are to fulfill. These requirements are defined by the maximum number of slaves to be used, what kind of slaves are used with respect to the required slave protocols (e.g. EoE, CoE, FoE, SoE) and how much data has to be transferred per time period (cyclic/acyclic data volume and bus cycle rate).

What also has to be considered is whether the used bus configuration is static or dynamic. When using EtherCAT as communication technology between multiple embedded systems, there is often a special operating mode used which is either the standard logical process data exchange or a point-to-point type communication, where slave stations are polled by acyclic commands and the cyclic part is only used to keep the system operational.

The main task for deciding which master solution to use is to clearly define how to face those conflicting requirements. The different options are to develop an own master solution, to adapt the master sample code to the embedded environment or to purchase a commercially available master solution.

The benefit of EtherCAT in an embedded environment is the possibility to have a scalable master by using or omitting some features or special protocols to meet the environment concerning memory and CPU. This is possible because a master stack does not require a special hardware but can be implemented in software. EtherCAT preserves space in your control unit because no additional interface devices are needed. Furthermore it reduces power consumption because only an Ethernet MAC is needed and no additional active I/O cards. On mass products the cost is always a matter of desire; here EtherCAT saves money because standard MAC hardware (consumer market) can be used.

**Structure of Master Sample Code**

![Diagram of EtherCAT frame structure](image)

- **Ethernet Header**
  - DA (Destination Address)
  - SA (Source Address)
  - Type (EtherCAT)
- **EtherCAT Header**
  - EtherCAT Frame Type
  - EtherCAT Frame Length
- **EtherCAT Datagram**
  - 6 bytes
- **Operating System**
  - 1486 bytes

The diagram shows the structure of an EtherCAT frame, including the constant header, EtherCAT frame type, EtherCAT frame length, EtherCAT datagram, and the operating system. The diagram is used to illustrate how the EtherCAT frame is constructed and how different components are integrated into the overall frame structure.
Slave

Slave architecture
A cost-effective EtherCAT slave controller is used in the slave devices. With EtherCAT the slave does not need a microcontroller at all. Simple devices that get by with an I/O interface can be implemented only with the ESC and the underlying PHY, magnetics and the RJ45 connector. The process data interface (PDI) to the slave application is a 12-bit I/O interface. This slave without configurable parameters needs no software or mailbox protocol. The EtherCAT State Machine is handled in the ESC. The boot-up information for the ESC comes out of the EEPROM that also supports the identity information of the slave. More complex slaves that are configurable have a host CPU on board. This CPU is connected to the ESC with an 8-bit or 16-bit parallel interface or via a serial connection (SPI). The performance of the host CPU is determined by the slave application – the EtherCAT protocol software can be run additionally. The EtherCAT stack manages the EtherCAT state machine and the communication protocol. This means in general the CoE protocol and for supporting firmware download FoE. Optional the EoE protocol can be implemented.

Slave implementation services
Several vendors offer slave implementation services including hardware and software integration. The various EtherCAT Slave Controllers are supported by corresponding evaluation kits. The Slave Evaluation Kit makes all the interfaces of the controller easily accessible. Since with EtherCAT powerful communication processors are unnecessary, the kit shown above contains an 8-bit μC which optionally can be used as host CPU. The kit comes with slave host software – the equivalent to a protocol stack – in source code, and a reference master software package. Implementation workshops are held frequently.

Slave implementation services
Several vendors offer slave implementation services including hardware and software integration. The various EtherCAT Slave Controllers are supported by corresponding evaluation kits. The Slave Evaluation Kit makes all the interfaces of the controller easily accessible. Since with EtherCAT powerful communication processors are unnecessary, the kit shown above contains an 8-bit μC which optionally can be used as host CPU. The kit comes with slave host software – the equivalent to a protocol stack – in source code, and a reference master software package. Implementation workshops are held frequently.
**EtherCAT Slave Controller**

Several manufacturers provide EtherCAT Slave Controllers. Slave controller functionality can also be implemented very cost effectively on FPGAs, for which binary code as well as IP cores are available as buy-out license. The slave controllers typically feature an internal DPRAM and offer a range of interfaces for accessing this application memory:

- The serial SPI (serial peripheral interface) is intended particularly for devices with small process data quantity, such as analog I/O modules, sensors, encoders or simple drives. This interface is typically used with 8-bit controllers, such as Microchip PIC, dsPIC, Intel 80C51, etc.
- The parallel 8/16-bit microcontroller interface corresponds to conventional interfaces for fieldbus controllers with DPRAM interface. It is particularly suitable for more complex devices with larger data volume. Controllers typically using this interface are e.g. Infineon BoCxxx, Intel 80x86, Hitachi SH1, ST10, ARM or TI TMS320 series.
- The 32-bit parallel I/O interface is suitable for the connection of up to 32 digital inputs/outputs, but also for simple sensors or actuators operating with 32 data bits. Such devices do not need a host CPU at all.

Latest information on the choice of EtherCAT Slave Controllers can be found on the EtherCAT website.

---

### EtherCAT Slave Controller overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ET1100</th>
<th>ET1200</th>
<th>ET18xx netX 5</th>
<th>netX 100</th>
<th>netX 500</th>
<th>netX 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>Configurable IP Core</td>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>ASIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW Supplier</td>
<td>Beckhoff</td>
<td>Beckhoff</td>
<td>Altera/Xilinx</td>
<td>Hilscher</td>
<td>Hilscher</td>
<td>Hilscher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Package</td>
<td>BGA128 0.8mm pitch</td>
<td>QFN48 0.5mm pitch</td>
<td>FPGA-dependent BGA201</td>
<td>BGA345 1mm pitch</td>
<td>BGA442 1mm pitch</td>
<td>BGA442 1mm pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>10 x 10 mm 7 x 7 mm</td>
<td>FPGA-dependent 13 x 13 mm</td>
<td>22 x 22 mm 22 x 22 mm</td>
<td>19 x 19 mm 19 x 19 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μC Interface</td>
<td>serial/parallel</td>
<td>serial*</td>
<td>serial/parallel SPI, μC bus</td>
<td>parallel (AVLON®/OPB®)*</td>
<td>μC bus</td>
<td>μC bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital I/O</td>
<td>8-bit* 8-bit* 8-bit*</td>
<td>8-bit* 8-bit*</td>
<td>(8/16/32, async) (internal, 32Bit) (internal, 32Bit)</td>
<td>(internal, 32Bit) (internal, 32Bit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Purpose I/O</td>
<td>0-32* 0-16* 0-16*</td>
<td>0-12* 0-12*</td>
<td>0-32* 0-32*</td>
<td>0-12* 0-12*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPRAM</td>
<td>8 kByte</td>
<td>1 kByte</td>
<td>256/400 Byte</td>
<td>6 kByte</td>
<td>256/400 Byte</td>
<td>256/400 Byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SyncManager Entities</td>
<td>yes yes yes*</td>
<td>yes yes yes*</td>
<td>yes yes yes*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Ports</td>
<td>2–4 (MII/EBUS)* 2–4 (MII/RMII)</td>
<td>2 (MII/RMII)</td>
<td>2 (100Base-TX) 2 (100Base-TX)</td>
<td>2 (100Base-TX) 2 (100Base-TX)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*configurable

---
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Conformance and Interoperability

Conformance and interoperability are very important factors for the success of a communication technology. Therefore the EtherCAT Technology Group is taking these topics very seriously. Conformance of the technology implementation with the specifications is the prerequisite of interoperability, which means that devices of different manufacturers co-operate within the same networked application.

In order to achieve both, the ETG initially started with a pragmatic approach: the Plug Fests. In addition to these interoperability meetings there is the Conformance Test Tool (CTT). For product certification official EtherCAT Test Centers (ETC) have been established.

■ Plug Fest
Plug Fests – typically these are two-day events – are meetings where master and slave device suppliers gather to test and improve interoperability, to share implementation tips and tricks and clarify questions regarding the technology. The Plug Fests have established themselves as pragmatic and effective means to achieve interoperable EtherCAT products. They are typically attended by 20 or more device vendors, representing 50+ different products. ETG organizes EtherCAT Plug Fests in Europe, Asia and North America.

■ Conformance Test Tool
The Conformance Test Tool allows one to check protocol compliance. Besides a standard PC with a standard Ethernet adapter, the MS Windows based Conformance Test Tool does not require any special hardware. The EtherCAT frames to stimulate the Device under Test (DUT) are sent and received via a standard Ethernet port. The tool reads the test cases from an XML file, so that test enhancements can be generated without modifications to the test tool software itself. Test results and comments are shown in a test logger. The tool also tests the EtherCAT Slave Information (ESI) file. CiA402 tests for example allow one to check conformity of EtherCAT drives supporting the CiA402 drive profile: easy set up, identical behaviour and correct support of cyclic synchronous operation modes are part of these tests.

To meet minimum conformance requirements a device has to pass the protocol test using the Conformance Test Tool at the time of its first release to the market. Of course vendors are encouraged to keep up with the latest version of the Conformance Test Tool and also fix issues which only have been revealed after the initial release of their device. The Conformance Test Tool is licensed on a subscription basis, in order to ensure long term availability of resources for maintenance, support and enhancement. EtherCAT device vendors have to maintain a valid subscription of the tool. The test cases are developed and maintained by the ETG Working Group Conformance.

■ Working Group Conformance
The Technical Committee of the ETG established the Working Group Conformance, which defines the test procedures and in particular works on continuously enhancing and improving the tests. The main goal of the working group is to reach complete test coverage in the future. The Working Group Conformance meets regularly, either in face-to-face or web meetings.
EtherCAT Test Center

The ETG has accredited official EtherCAT Test Centers (ETC) in Nuremberg, Germany, and Kyoto, Japan. Further test centers in Europe, Asia and North America are intended. ETCs do not only perform the official EtherCAT Conformance Test, but also provide qualified feedback and implementation support for ETG members. Furthermore, ETCs provide facilities for the interoperability test.

 EtherCAT Conformance Test

The EtherCAT Conformance Test covers a Conformance Test, Interoperability Test and Physical Layer Test carried out by an ETC. Using the Conformance Test Tool already ensures a high degree of conformity. However, since test tool results of tests carried out in the R&D lab are not checked by an independent body the EtherCAT Conformance Test is executed by the ETC, too. Vendors who want a Conformance Certificate submit their devices for testing at an official EtherCAT Test Center of their choice.

Test Agenda of the EtherCAT Conformance Test:
- EtherCAT Protocol (Conformance Test)
  - ESI, SII (EEPROM), EtherCAT State Machine, Mailbox Communication and Services
  - Indicator Specification
  - Marking Rules
  - CiA402 Drive Profile (if supported)
- Interoperability Test

Interoperability Test

This test is performed using a network with a diverse combination of EtherCAT devices. Such networks are maintained and constantly enhanced at the EtherCAT Test Center.

Conformance Certificate

If a device passes the official EtherCAT Conformance Test at an EtherCAT Test Center, ETG issues the Conformance Certificate. Devices for which a Conformance Certificate has been issued are EtherCAT Conformance tested products. They may carry the official EtherCAT Conformance Tested Logo and they are marked accordingly in the EtherCAT Product Guide. EtherCAT users are encouraged to include the availability of the conformance test mark in their vendor and device selection process.

**Safety over EtherCAT**

In the interest of realizing safe data communication over EtherCAT, the Safety over EtherCAT protocol has been disclosed within the EtherCAT Technology Group. Safety over EtherCAT meets the requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3 and enables the transfer of safe and non-safe information on the same communication system without limitations with regard to transfer speed and cycle time.

#### Safety fieldbus system
Intelligent safety solutions in the automation components and communication systems enable integration of safety technology into the machine design.

One of the key factors enabling this kind of integration is the transfer of safety-related and standard communication on the same fieldbus. The solution for EtherCAT is the Safety over EtherCAT protocol.

**The benefits:**
- Single communication system for control and safety information
- Seamless integration of the safety concept into the machine design
- Outstanding diagnostic options for the safety functions
- Flexible extension options
- No performance limitations in terms of real-time and determinism
- No separate development tools for standard and safety application
- Simple handling and transparent safety functions

#### Technology Requirements
The basic principles for testing and certification of bus systems for transferring safety-related messages are specified in the international IEC 61784-3 standard.

The following errors for such a network have to be assumed: corruption, repetition, interchanging, loss, delay insertion, masquerading and invalid addressing of messages. A safety protocol must be able to handle all these errors via suitable measures, i.e. they must be detected according to the required safety category.

The following features were crucial in the development of the Safety over EtherCAT protocol:
- Compliance with Safety Integrity Level SIL 3 of IEC 61508
- Safe and non-safe information on the same communication system
- Independence of the protocol from the transfer system and medium
- The length of the safe process data is not restricted by the protocol
- Very short frame lengths are possible
- No limitations with regard to transfer speed and cycle time

The Safety over EtherCAT protocol has been assessed by German Technical Inspection Agency (TÜV). It is certified as a protocol for transferring process data between Safety over EtherCAT devices up to SIL 3 according to IEC 61508. The implementation of the Safety over EtherCAT protocol in a device must meet the requirements of the safety target. The associated product-specific requirements must be taken into account.
Any communication path can be used, including fieldbus systems, Ethernet or similar transfer systems, optical fibre cables, copper cables, or even radio links.

**Implementation aspect**
EtherCAT is used as a single-channel communication system for transferring safe and non-safe information. The transport medium is regarded as a "black channel" and not included in safety considerations. A safety frame containing the safe process data and the required data backup is included in the EtherCAT process data. This "container" is analyzed in the devices at the safety application level. The communication remains single-channel.

Via suitable procedures, the frame is designed such that a minimum container length of 6 bytes is sufficient for transferring all error detection information, including one byte of safe process data. Incidentally, the protocol does not impose any limits regarding the length of safe process data. This means that safety components with a large amount of safe process data are also supported.

During start-up of a Safety over EtherCAT connection, a state machine is processed both in the master and in the slave. Here again, the focus was on a simple structure in order to make implementation as simple as possible. State transitions are initiated by the master and acknowledged by the slave.

The "data" state is the normal operating state for exchanging safety input and output data. If one of the devices detects a communication error, it changes to reset state, thereby resetting the connection.

**Application Example**
The safety and functionality of a safety communication protocol can only be proven through implementation in a product. Devices with Safety over EtherCAT have been available since 2005. EtherCAT is therefore one of the first Industrial Ethernet real-time communication systems supporting a safe protocol.

Applications utilize the benefits of the technology. Scalable safety-related input and output components can be used in the system; additional inputs or outputs can be extended flexibly using safety and non-safety devices as required.

Even the safety logic can be embedded within the network. The control PLC then remains a standard device without safety extension and the safety logic is processed within a safety device in the network segment. This saves costs and enables scaling of the safety logic within the system. Only the messages between the Safety over EtherCAT master and the corresponding safety slave devices are routed via the standard PLC. Of course the classical safety PLC architecture is supported by Safety over EtherCAT as well.

With the black channel approach even the concatenation of machine parts is possible. The Safety over EtherCAT Frames are routed via the standard communication path on the Process Control level.

---
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Why do companies choose EtherCAT?

Flexible Topology
The machine structure determines the topology - not the bus system. Since no switches or hubs are needed, they do not limit the network structure. There are almost no restrictions given by the EtherCAT technology: line, tree, star, drop lines - everything is possible.

The automatic link detection gives the possibility to support hot-swap functionality of machine parts. The (dis-)connection of the parts can be managed by the bus manager or can be handled automatically by the slave devices.

With a simple extra cable to a second (standard) Ethernet port on the EtherCAT master, a very simple and cost-effective network redundancy is possible.

EtherCAT is also ideal for widely distributed applications: Up to 100 m between two nodes on copper cables and up to 2 km on standard fiber-optic cables are supported.

Application examples:
- Robotics
- Machine tool applications
- CNC functionality
- Packaging machines
- Injection molding
- Engine test beds
- Measurement applications
- Ultra fast metal cutting
- Blow molding machinery
- Semiconductor + FPD manufacturing

High Performance
EtherCAT is the fastest industrial Ethernet technology with outstanding synchronisation features. It is used in all applications with short cycle times like Motion Control applications as well as for measurement applications with many I/O signals.

Simply the use of EtherCAT allows one to increase the control performance up to 30%, often even more. This performance is then available for the user application. The increased accuracy and productivity of the machine leads to lower costs and higher profits. EtherCAT meets today’s and tomorrow’s requirements!

Application examples:
- Robotics
- Machine tool applications
- CNC functionality
- Packaging machines
- Injection molding
- Engine test beds
- Measurement applications
- Ultra fast metal cutting
- Blow molding machinery
- Semiconductor + FPD manufacturing
- Baggage conveyor systems
- Bridge absorption system
- Snow blower control
- Automated warehousing
- Printing machines
- Hydraulic/electric presses
- Woodworking machines
Ease of Use
The configuration and maintenance of a machine is a decisive factor for the life cycle costs. With EtherCAT, these tasks are significantly simplified. The configuration and the topology of a machine can be scanned after installation and can be compared to an expected configuration.

If a device has a failure or detects errors on the communication systems, the outstanding diagnostic features give an exact localization of the error source and therefore allow a fast trouble shooting.

Again the performance of EtherCAT is decisive: There is no need for network “tuning”, as known for standard fieldbus systems. If additional information is needed from a device, it can be added to the cyclic transfer (nearly) without limitations by the network bandwidth.

Application examples:
- Standard PLC applications
- Automotive factory automation with short MTTR (Mean time to repair)
- Intra-machine communication with expected hardware configuration
- Modular machines
- Welding machines
- Edge banding machines

Low Cost
EtherCAT meets or even undercuts fieldbus cost levels. There is no special master card required on the master side – on-board MAC or low cost standard NIC are sufficient. For the slave side, highly integrated slave controllers guarantee low interface costs. Furthermore, between the master and the slave standard Ethernet cables can be used: No active infrastructure components are required and therefore no costs for buying, configuration, installation and maintenance of these devices are necessary.

Application examples:
- Embedded systems
- Frequency inverters
- Sensors
- Mass flow controller
- Encoder
- RFID reader
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